

Equality & Good Relations Screening Report

Introduction

Mid Ulster District Council has a statutory duty to screen its policies, procedures, practices/decisions. This Policy Screening Form and Report assists Council Departments to consider the likely equality and good relations impacts of the aforementioned, if any, placed upon our ratepayers, citizens, service users, staff and visitors to the district.

Section 1 - Policy scoping

This asks the Policy Author to provide details on the policy, procedure, practice and/or decision being screened and what available evidence you have gathered to help make an assessment of the likely impact on equality of opportunity and good relations. Reference to policy within this document refers to either of the aforementioned (policy, procedure, practice, and/ or decision).

Section 2 - Screening questions

This asks about the extent of the likely impact of the policy on groups of people within each of the Section 75 categories. Details of the groups consulted and the level of assessment of the likely impact. This includes consideration of multiple identity and issues.

Section 3 -Screening decision

This guides the Council to reach a screening decision as to whether or not there is a need to carry out an equality impact assessment (EQIA), or introduce measures to mitigate the likely impact, or the introduction of an alternative policy to better promote equality of opportunity.

Section 4 – Monitoring

This provides guidance to the Council on monitoring for adverse impact and broader monitoring.

Section 5 – Approval and authorisation

This verifies the Council's approval of a screening decision by a senior manager responsible for the policy.

Appendix A Screening Process

Section 1 Policy Scoping & Information

The first stage of the screening process involves scoping the policy under consideration which sets the context and confirms the aims and objectives for the policy being screened. Scoping the policy helps to identify constraints as well as opportunities and will help the policy author to work through the screening process on a step by step basis.

1. Policy Name

GDPR Personal Data Protection Policy 2018

2. Is this an existing, revised or a new policy?

Essentially a revised policy due to new legislation

3. What is it trying to achieve? (aims/outcomes)

- To provide assurance to our employees and public that we seek to protect the information we hold and used it for legitimate purposes.
 To replace the existing Policy and ensure Council meets the requirements of the General Data Protection Act 2018
- 2) To ensure that all appropriate staff are properly trained, kept fully informed of their obligations under the Data Protection Act 2018, and that they are aware of their personal data protection liabilities, setting out the standards expected by the Council in relation to processing of personal data and safeguarding individuals' rights and freedoms.
- 3) To ensure the protection of personal and sensitive information of staff and our customers.
- 4) To ensure all staff across the Council are aware of, and understand the importance of, data protection and confidentiality.
- 5) To ensure procedures are in place across the Council for staff, contractors and members regarding disclosure of personal information.
- 6) To increase the awareness of data subjects to the amount of personal data processed and stored by the Council about them and advise them of their rights under the data protection legislation.
- 7) To ensure all staff receive appropriate data protection training, with regular updates or when significant data protection guidance changes
- 4. Are there any Section 75 categories which might be expected to benefit from the intended policy?

Yes	
No	No

If so, please explain

6. Who initiated or wrote the policy?

Barry O'Hagan: Head of IT

7. Who owns and who implements the policy?

Barry O'Hagan	: Head of I	Γ		

Implementation factors

	Yes	No	
Are there any factors which intended aim/ outcome of	th could contribute to/ detract from the policy?		
If yes, are they financial	Yes		
If yes, are they legislat	Yes		
If yes, Please specify	Financial: Personal data protection and technical controls to secure data review, monitor and audit its complia Legislative: Data Protection Act 2018	and train st	
Other, Please specify	N/A		

Stakeholders

The internal and external (actual or potential) that the policy will be impacted upon

	Yes	No
Staff	Yes	
Service Users	Yes	
Other public sector organisations	Yes	
Voluntary/community/ trade unions	Yes	
Other, please specify	Suppliers	

Others policies with a bearing on this policy

Policies	Owners
CCTV, IT Security Policy, Email and instant messaging policy, Customer service policy, Photographic policy, USB and removable media policy, Mobile device policy, Retention and Disposal policy and schedule and most HR policies	Head of HR Head of Marketing and

Available evidence

Information and available evidence (qualitative and quantitative) gathered to inform the policy under each of the Section 75 groups as identified within the Northern Ireland Act 1998.

Section 75 category	Details of evidence	e/information			
Religious belief	63.77% of the popular or were brought up in religion. Other religion population (Source: 2	a Protestant and one of a Prot	Other Christian (ir	ncluding	Christian related)
	Religion or Religio	n brought up in	N	o.	%
	Catholic		88.	375	63.77
	Protestant and Othe Christian related)	er Christian (includ	ing	372	33.46
	Other religions		69	90	0.5
	None		3.1	153	2.28
	Total			,590	100
	Party SF DUP	Votes 22,587 9,723	Percentage 41.0% 17.6%	Cour	18 8
	UUP SDLP Independent TUV	9,573 7,600 2,689 2,380	17.4% 13.8% 4.9% 4.3%		7 6 1 0
	SDLP Independent TUV Alliance	7,600 2,689 2,380 250	13.8% 4.9% 4.3% 0.6%		7 6 1 0
Racial group	SDLP Independent TUV Alliance UKIP The new data protectopinion as a special According to the 2011 (98.48%) were classification as Polish, Lithua in Mid Ulster Local Go	7,600 2,689 2,380 250 195 tion framework p category of personal control contr	13.8% 4.9% 4.3% 0.6% 0.4% rotects personal onal data. /helming majority in this total will be Statistics indicate	of the po e migrant e that the le Northe	7 6 1 0 0 0 pulation 136,488 communities, enumber of peopern Ireland is:
	SDLP Independent TUV Alliance UKIP The new data protec opinion as a special According to the 2011 (98.48%) were classifi such as Polish, Lithua in Mid Ulster Local Go Place of Birth Great Britain	7,600 2,689 2,380 250 195 tion framework p category of personal control contr	13.8% 4.9% 4.3% 0.6% 0.4% rotects personal onal data. /helming majority in this total will be Statistics indicate	of the poe e migrant e that the le Northe	garding politica population 136,488 communities, number of peopern Ireland is:
	SDLP Independent TUV Alliance UKIP The new data protectopinion as a special According to the 2011 (98.48%) were classification as Polish, Lithua in Mid Ulster Local Go	7,600 2,689 2,380 250 195 tion framework p category of persected as 'white'. With nian and so forth. overnment District	13.8% 4.9% 4.3% 0.6% 0.4% rotects personal data. /helming majority in this total will be Statistics indicate (LGD) born outsice	of the positive migrant that the le Northe	7 6 1 0 0 0 pulation 136,488 communities, enumber of peopern Ireland is:

Other 2,280

The minority ethnic language profile within the area can serve as a possible indicator of the Black & Minority Ethnic (BME) community profile within the district. The composition of language groups in Mid Ulster LGD area is also noted from the 2011 census by NISRA as:

Main Languages of residents in Mid Ulster Council area	No.
English	125,715
Polish	2,008
Lithuanian	2,039
Portuguese	903
Irish (Gaelic)	404
Slovak	477
Russian	297
Latvia	261
Hungarian	117
Chinese	64
Tagalog/Filipino	38
Malaysian	33
Other	922

The new data protection framework protects personal data revealing racial or ethnic origin as a special category of personal data.

Age

The age profile of Mid Ulster Local Government District area as at 2015 (Source, NISRA)

	Mid Ulster	Northern Ireland
Total Population	144,002	1,851,621
0-15 years	33,123	385,200
16-39 years	47,646	583,116
40-64 years	43,621	591,481
65+ years	19,612	291,824
Population Change % (2005-2015)	15.3%	7.2%

Children are afforded safeguards via specific protection in relation to their personal data as a result of the new data protection regulations. Specific information relating to the use of personal data of children for the purposes of marketing and when using services offered directly to children.

Marital status

The below table sets out the martial status profile for Mid Ulster District Council area as extracted from results of the 2011 Census

	Mid	Ulster	Northern Ireland		
	No.	%	No	%	
Single (never married or never registered a same sex civil partnership) (Aged 16+)	38,353	35.97	517,393	36.14	
Married (Aged 16+)	54,192	50.82	680,831	47.56	
In a registered same sex civil partnership (Aged 16+)	62	0.06	1,243	0.09	
Separated (but is still legally married or still legally in a same sex civil partnership) (Aged 16+)	3,369	3.16	56,911	3.98	
Divorced or formerly in a same sex civil partnership which is now legally dissolved (Aged 16+)	4,139	3.88	78,074	5.45	
Widowed or surviving partner from a same sex civil partnership (Aged 16+)	6,523	6.12	97,088	6.78	

Sexual orientation

No specific statistics are available from the 2011 government census for this Category and there are therefore no official statistics available in relation to persons of different sexual orientation. However, the Integrated Household Survey would include between 3% and 4% would be either gay, lesbian and/or bisexual. However, due to the nature of 'disclosure' in this area, umbrella organisations often state that the figure may be closer to 10%.

Region	Heterosexual / Straight	Gay/ Lesbian	Bisexual	Gay/ Lesbian/ Bisexual	Other	Don't know /refuse	No response
England	92.54%	1.10%	0.51%	1.61%	0.33%	4.07%	1.45%
Wales	93.93%	1.04%	0.48%	1.52%	0.45%	2.99%	1.11%
Scotland	94.65%	0.82%	0.33%	1.14%	0.26%	2.59%	1.37%
N Ireland	93.00%	0.64%	0.96%	1.60%	0.26%	3.98%	1.17%
Total	92.80%	1.06%	0.51%	1.57%	0.32%	3.89%	1.42%

Research also conducted by the HM Treasury shows that between 5%-7% of the UK population identify themselves as gay, lesbian, bisexual or 'trans' (transsexual, transgendered and transvestite) (LGBT).

The new data protection framework protects personal data concerning sexual orientation as a special personal data category.

Men & women generally

The gender profile of Mid Ulster LGD is detailed as;

Mid Ulster			Northern Ireland		
	No.	%	No.	%	
Male	69,362	50.05	887,323	49.00	
Female	69,228	49.95	923,540	51.00	

Disability

According to the 2011 NISRA census statistics 19.39% of people had a long-term health problem or disability that limited their day-to-day activities whilst 80.43% of people within the district stated their general health was either good or very good

	Mid Ulster		Northern	Ireland
	No.	%	No.	%
Disability / long term health	26,870	19.39	374,646	20.69
No disability / long term health problem	111,720	80.61	1,436,217	79.31

In Northern Ireland the profile of persons with a disability has been reported by Disability Action as;

- More than 1 in 5 or 21% of the population have a disability
- 1 in 7 people have some form of hearing loss
- 5,000 persons use sign language British Sign Language and/or Irish Sign Language
- There are 57,000 blind persons or persons with significant impairment
- 52,000 persons with learning difficulties

Dependants

Persons with dependents may be people who have personal responsibility for the care of a child (or children), a person with a disability, and/ or a dependent older person. The below table provides a summary with respect Mid Ulster LGD.

	Mid Ulster		Northern Ireland	
	No.	%	No.	%
Households with dependent children	18,626	38.99	238,094	33.86
Lone parent households with dependents	3,485	7.30	63,921	9.09
People providing unpaid care	12,821	10.69	231,980	11.82

Of the households in Mid Ulster Local Government District with dependent children, they can be summarised as;

- 7,407 families in households have 1 dependent child
- 6,394 families in households with two dependent children
- 5,014 families in households with three dependent children

There are 37,306 dependent children within families.

Needs, experiences and priorities

Taking into account the information referred to above, what are the different needs, experiences and priorities of each of the following categories, in relation to the particular policy/decision? Specify details for each of the Section 75 categories

Section 75 category	Details of needs/experiences/priorities
Religious belief	This S75 grouping is given is provided with special data category status by the new data protection framework.
Political opinion	This S75 grouping is given is provided with special data category status by the new data protection framework.
Racial group	People for whom English is not their first language or for people from an ethnic minority background may have different needs regarding access to their personal data. This will need to be taken into account in the implementation of this policy. This may involve the use of translation services.
	This S75 grouping has also been given is provided with special data category status by the new data protection framework.
Age	Parental data will be required before collecting information/data from children under the age of 12 years old.
	This S75 grouping has also been given is provided with special data category status by the new data protection framework.
Marital status	This policy has no identifiable differential impact on this particular 75 grouping.
Sexual orientation	This S75 grouping is given is provided with special data category status by the new data protection framework.
Men and women generally	This policy has no identifiable differential impact on this particular 75 grouping.
Disability	People with disabilities may have different needs/requirements regarding access to their personal data. These needs will need to be taken into account by providing access by a variety of means and in alternative formats.

Dependants	This policy has no identifiable differential impact on this particular 75 grouping.
------------	---

Section 2 – Screening Questions

In making a decision as to carry out an Equality Impact Assessment (EQIA), the Council should consider its answers to the questions 1- 3 detailed below.

If the Council's conclusion is <u>none</u> in respect of all of the Section 75 equality of opportunity categories, then the Council may decide to screen the policy out. If a policy is 'screened out' as having no relevance to equality of opportunity, the Council should give details of the reasons for the decision taken.

If the Council's conclusion is <u>major</u> in respect of one or more of the Section 75 equality of opportunity, then consideration should be given to subjecting the policy to the equality impact assessment procedure.

If the Council's conclusion is <u>minor</u> in respect of one or more of the Section 75 equality categories, then consideration should still be given to proceeding with an equality impact assessment, or to:

- measures to mitigate the adverse impact; or
- the introduction of an alternative policy to better promote equality of opportunity.

In favour of a 'major' impact

- a) The policy is significant in terms of its strategic importance;
- b) Potential equality impacts are unknown, because, for example, there is insufficient data upon which to make an assessment or because they are complex, and it would be appropriate to conduct an equality impact assessment in order to better assess them;
- Potential equality impacts are likely to be adverse or are likely to be experienced disproportionately by groups of people including those who are marginalised or disadvantaged;
- d) Further assessment offers a valuable way to examine the evidence and develop recommendations in respect of a policy about which there are concerns amongst affected individuals and representative groups, for example in respect of multiple identities;
- e) The policy is likely to be challenged by way of judicial review;
- f) The policy is significant in terms of expenditure.

In favour of 'minor' impact

- a) The policy is not unlawfully discriminatory and any residual potential impacts on people are judged to be negligible:
- b) The policy, or certain proposals within it, are potentially unlawfully discriminatory, but this possibility can readily and easily be eliminated by making appropriate changes to the policy or by adopting appropriate mitigating measures;
- c) Any asymmetrical equality impacts caused by the policy are intentional because they are specifically designed to promote equality of opportunity for particular groups of disadvantaged people;
- d) By amending the policy there are better opportunities to better promote equality of opportunity.

In favour of none

- a) The policy has no relevance to equality of opportunity.
- b) The policy is purely technical in nature and will have no bearing in terms of its likely impact on equality of opportunity for people within the equality categories.

Screening questions

1. What is the likely impact on equality of opportunity for those affected by this policy, for each of the Section 75 equality categories (minor/ major/ none)		
Section 75 category	Details of policy impact	Level of impact? minor/major/none
Religious belief	This S75 grouping is given is provided with special data category status by the new data protection framework.	Minor-Positive
Political opinion	This S75 grouping is given is provided with special data category status by the new data protection framework.	Minor-Positive
Racial group	This S75 grouping is given is provided with special data category status by the new data protection framework. Council will also have to ensure that for people who do not have English as a first language are made aware of how to access their personal data.	Minor-Positive

Age	This S75 grouping is given is provided with special data category status by the new data protection framework. Minor-Positive	
Marital status	No impact	None
Sexual orientation	This S75 grouping is given is provided with special data category status by the new data protection framework.	Minor-Positive
Men and women generally	No impact	None
Disability	This S75 grouping is given is provided with special data category status by the new data protection framework. Council will have to ensure that the needs of the needs of people with disabilities are taken into account when they need to access their personal data.	Minor-Positive
Dependants	No impact	None

2. Are there opportunities to better promote equality of opportunity for people within Section 75 equality categories? (Yes/ No)		
Section 75 category	If Yes, provide details	If No, provide reasons
Religious belief		This policy does not impact on equality of opportunity for this category.

Political opinion		This policy does not impact on equality of opportunity for this category
Racial group	Implementing measures to support people with language requirements	
Age		This policy does not impact on equality of opportunity for this category
Marital status		This policy does not impact on equality of opportunity for this category
Sexual orientation		This policy does not impact on equality of opportunity for this category
Men and women generally		This policy does not impact on equality of opportunity for this category
Disability	Implementing measures to support people with a disability	
Dependants		This policy does not impact on equality of opportunity for this category

3. Are there opportunities without prejudice, t	to the equality of opport	tunity
duty, to better promote good relations betwee categories, through tackling prejudice and/ or		ling?
(Yes/ No)		
	No	No
	Yes	140
		i

If yes is concluded to Question 3, then the policy will be referred to the Council's Good Relations Working Group for consideration. The Group will consider the potential opportunities and assess if and how the overall impact of a decision/policy can better promote good relations.

Additional Considerations - Multiple identity

Generally speaking, people can fall into more than one Section 75 category. Taking this into consideration, are there any potential impacts of the policy/decision on people with multiple identities? (For example; disabled minority ethnic people; disabled women; young Protestant men; and young lesbians, gay and bisexual people).
Provide details of data on the impact of the policy on people with multiple identities. Specify relevant Section 75 categories concerned.
Not Applicable

Section 3 - Screening Decision

In light of answers provided to the questions within Section 3 select one of the following with regards the policy:

		Select One
1	Shall not be subject to an EQIA - with no mitigating measures required	√
2	Shall not be subject to an EQIA - mitigating measures/ alternative policies introduced	
3	Shall be subject to an EQIA	

If 1 or 2 above (i.e. not to be subject to an EQIA) please provide details of reasons why.

This policy will be applied fairly and consistently. The policy has taken into account people whose first language is not English and people who have disabilities.

If 2 above (i.e. not to subject to an EQIA) in what ways can adverse impacts attaching to the policy be mitigated or an alternative policy be introduced.

If 3 above (i.e. shall be subject to an EQIA), please provide details of the reasons.

Mitigation

When it is concluded that the likely impact is 'minor' and an equality impact assessment is not to be conducted, you may consider mitigation to lessen the severity of any equality impact, or the introduction of an alternative policy to better promote equality of opportunity.

Can the policy/decision be amended or changed or an alternative policy introduced to better promote equality of opportunity?

If so, give the **reasons** to support your decision, together with the proposed changes/amendments or alternative policy:

Not adverse impact has been identified therefore no mitigation is required.

Timetabling and prioritising

If the policy has been screened in for equality impact assessment, please answer the below to determine its priority for timetabling the equality impact assessment.

• On a scale of 1-3 (1 being lowest priority and 3 being highest), assess the policy in terms of its priority for equality impact assessment.

Priority criterion	Rating (1-3)
Effect on equality of opportunity	
Social need	
Effect on people's daily lives	
Relevance to a Council's functions	

Note: The Total Rating Score should be used to prioritise the policy in rank order with other policies screened in for equality impact assessment. This list of priorities will assist the Council in timetabling. Details of the Council's Equality Impact Assessment Timetable should be included in the Screening Reports.

• Is the policy affected by timetables established by other relevant public authorities?

Yes	3	
No		No

Section 5 - Monitoring

Effective monitoring will help identify any future adverse impact arising from the policy which may lead the Council to conduct an equality impact assessment, as well as help with future planning and policy development. Please detail proposed monitoring arrangements below:

The policy will be monitored in relation to how many requests are made by people requiring support to access their data.

Section 6 - Approval and authorisation

Position/ Job Title	Date
Head of IT	26/6/2018
Corporate Policy & Equality Officer	26/6/2018
Position/ Job Title	Date
DIRECTOL OF FIVANCE	22/08/2013
	Head of IT Corporate Policy & Equality Officer Position/ Job Title

Note: A copy of the Screening Template, for each policy screened should be 'signed off' and approved by a senior manager responsible for the policy; made easily accessible on the council website as soon as possible following completion and be available on request.

